





## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF FATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.iispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/031,317	10/031,317 01/16/2002		Tomohide Takeuchi	52433/675	5887	
26646	7590	02/19/2003				
KENYON &		ON	EXAMINER			
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				MCHENRY, KEVIN L		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1725	5	
			DATE MAILED: 02/19/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
	_	10/031,317	T	AKEUCHI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Kevin L McHenry		725				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u>·</u>						
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)□		— s action is non-fi	nal.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa			ecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>								
4)	Claim(s) $\underline{1-5}$ is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from considera	ation.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊡	Claım(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirer	ment.					
· · ·	ion Papers							
·	The specification is objected to by the Examiner		_					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on 16 January 2002 is/are:							
44)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			, ,				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
	13)⊡ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
a)ı		s have been reco	ivad					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* 5	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3</u> .	5)		TO-413) Paper No(s) ent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/031,317

Art Unit: 1725

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 98/35775 in view of JP 4-342,468.

WO 98/35775 teaches a ceramic plate material for the side dams of a twin-drum strip caster. This reference teaches that the ceramic plate material can be made of various suitable ceramic materials, such as  $BN-Si_3N_4$  (see WO 98/35775; particularly Figures 1, 2a-2c, 3; page 8).

WO 98/35775 does not teach a ceramic plate material with the combination of composition and properties claimed by the applicant.

JP 4-342,468 teaches a ceramic that is suitable for use in the continuous casting of carbon steel, stainless steel, and high alloy steel. JP 4-342,468 teaches that the ceramic has a composition of 5-70 wt% BN, 25-75 wt%  $Si_3N_4$ , and 3-35 wt% AlN. This reference also teaches that a spinel, such as  $MgO \cdot Al_2O_3$ , is added in an amount of 1-15 wt%. JP 4-342,468 teaches that this ceramic composition is advantageous over prior art BN-  $Si_3N_4$  ceramics because it provides improved corrosion resistance and improved strength (see JP 4-342,468; particularly abstract; pages 2-3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the applicant's invention was made to have modified the plate material of WO 98/35775 by

Application/Control Number: 10/031,317

Art Unit: 1725

the teachings of JP 4-342,468. One would have been motivated to do so in order to use a ceramic material and composition that provided improved corrosion resistance and strength, as taught by JP 4-342,468. The examiner notes that the ceramic plate material taught above reads upon the composition claimed by the applicant and would therefore have the same mechanical, thermal, and fluid properties claimed by the applicant.

## Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Takeuchi et al. (U.S.P. 6,145,581), Arakawa et al. (U.S.P. 4,640,336), Miyazawa et al. (U.S.P. 5,439,046), Spink (U.S.P. 6,257,315), Tavernier et al. (U.S.P. 5,247,987), Tonelli et al. (U.S.P. 6,378,598), JP 61-286,045, and JP 60-162,557 are cited of interest for illustrating the state of the art in continuous casting side dam design and ceramic materials used in continuous casting.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin L McHenry whose telephone number is (703) 305-9626. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas G Dunn can be reached on (703) 308-3318. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/031,317

Art Unit: 1725

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

February 2, 2003